



Vertipaq vs OLAP Change Your Data Modeling Approach



Who am I?

• marco.russo@sglbi.com

- Independent consultant
- 15+ years on SQL Server
- 10+ years on BI & OLAP
- Book author
- Founder of <u>SQLBI.COM</u>



Agenda

- OLAP Modeling (aka BISM Multidimensional)
- Vertipaq Modeling (aka BISM Tabular)
- Scenarios
- Thoughts

Traditional OLAP with UDM





OLAP vs Vertipaq

OLAP BISM Multidimensional	Vertipaq BISM Tabular
 Dimensional Modeling Facts, Dimensions Complex Relationships MDX Script Powerful, complex 	 Relational Modeling Tables Basic Relationships (1:N) DAX Calculated Columns Measures

sqlbi.com



Vertipaq

NOW CALLED BISM TABULAR

- Simpler data model
- Less dimensional tools
- Needs more memory
- Amazingly fast
- But...

Is speed the only advantage?

Dimensional Modeling

Why do we use dimensional modeling?

- Easiness of use?
- Any DWH can turn into dimensional through views • Speed!
 - No DWH model will ever beat a good DM
- Excel!
 - OLAP cubes need to be built on DM
 - Excel query OLAP cubes
- In 2011, Dimensional Modeling is the only solution











Warehouse Stock Analysis



Warehouse Stock Analysis

- Common solution:
 - Snapshot table with quantity on hold for each product/warehouse/day
 - ETL calculation made by summing all transactions up to a given day
- BISM Tabular
 - Can avoid snapshot by making up-to-date calculation at query time
 - Reduces memory, leverages on Vertipaq engine

<section-header><code-block></code>

Warehouse Stock Analysis

• For each date, a Full Scan of the fact table is required in order to generate the snapshot



Warehouse Stock Analysis

MDX QUERY WITHOUT A SNAPSHOT



Warehouse Stock Analysis

DAX QUERY DOES NOT REQUIRE SNAPSHOT

• The DAX query is faster:

```
stock :=
   CALCULATE(
    SUM( FactMovements[orderQuantity] ),
   FILTER(
    ALL( DimTime ),
    DimTime[TimeKey] <= MAX( DimTime[TimeKey] )
   )
)
)</pre>
```



COUNT NEW AND LOST CUSTOMERS

Scenario Customer Analysis



Customer Analysis

- The question:
 - How many new distinct customers we had this month?
- Dimensional model
 - Complex and slow query (either SQL or MDX)

 Slowness is caused by looking for the lack of an event in a dimensional model
 - Optimization: monthly <u>snapshot</u> of new customers

 Possible shortcut: saving date of first and last sale for each customer
 - Extraction logic embedded in ETL not flexible







Customer Analysis

MDX QUERY RELIES ON DISTINCT COUNT MEASURE

WITH MEMBER MEASURES.TotalCustomers AS AGGREGATE(NULL : [Date].[Calendar].CURRENTMEMBER, [Measures].[Customer Count]) MEMBER MEASURES.NewCustomers AS MEASURES.TotalCustomers - AGGREGATE(NULL : [Date].[Calendar].PREVMEMBER, [Measures].[Customer Count]) MEMBER MEASURES.ReturningCustomers AS MEASURES.[Customer Count] - MEASURES.NewCustomers SELECT { [Measures].[NewCustomers], [Measures].[Customer Count], [Measures].[Customer Count], [Measures].[Customer Count], [Measures].[Customer Count], [Measures].[Customer Count], [Date].[Calendar].[Month].MEMBERS ON ROWS FROM [Adventure Works]



Customer Analysis

WHY DISTINCT COUNT MEASURES ARE EXPENSIVE IN OLAP

- Distinct Count calculation in OLAP is expensive
 - Separate measure group
 - Adding a distinct count measure requires modifications in OLAP schema
 - Expensive processing (ORDER BY required)
 - Different partitioning for query optimization

Customer Analysis

• BISM Tabular

- Query in DAX is fast enough

 Good to get number and also to extract customers list
- Extraction logic in DAX, not in ETL

 Easy to change
- No special ETL and data modeling required
 Just because distinct count is fast

Customer Analysis

DAX QUERY HEAVILY USE DISTINCTCOUNT WITHOUT PERFORMANCE ISSUES





Scenario: Transition Matrix

- Examine transition of an attribute over time in a SCD2 Customer Dimension
- The real question:
 - How many customers moved from rating AAA to rating AAB in the last month?
- Complex SQL query
- Complex OLAP model
 - Duplicate facts table in the model (as bridge table)

salb

Transition Matrix - OLAP

RELATIONAL MODEL SCD2

Kimball SCD2 Dimension tracks changes in Rating for a customer





Transition Matrix - OLAP

RELATIONAL MODEL FOR MULTIDIMENSIONAL

- Relational model needs translation
 - Dim_Rating is a SCD Type II on customer ratings
 - Dim_Customer is a SCD Type I
 - Fact_RatingValues is a snapshot of ratings measured monthly
 - In addition to the rating, it contains measures on used and authorized credit amount on the corresponding period



Transition Matrix - OLAP

- DATA SOURCE VIEW FOR ANALYSIS SERVICES
- More entities required to support many-to-many dimension relationships



Transition Matrix - OLAP

DIMENSION USAGE IN ANALYSIS SERVICE

- Two measure groups and two dimensions for Rating A and Rating B
- Complete relationships between all dimensions and measure groups to get maximum navigation flexibility

🔍 Cube Structure 🖳 Dimension Usage 🎕 Calculations 🐺 KPIs 🙀 Actions 🔌 Partitions 📦 Perspectives 🚳 Translations 🔍 Browser								
5 전 월 5 1 전 X								
	Measure Groups	-	-					
Dimensions 💌	属 Rating A	属 Rating B	📕 Factless CR A	Factiess CR B				
🧕 Rating (Rating A)	Dim Rating	Factiess CR B	Dim Rating	Factless CR A				
🥑 Date (Date A)	ID_Date	Factless CR B	e Rating A	Factless CR A				
🥑 Customer	19 Rating A	[옷 Rating B	Customer Code	Customer Code				
💓 Rating (Rating B)	E Factiess CR A	Dim Rating	E Factiess CR B	Dim Rating				
🧕 Date (Date B)	Factiess CR A	ID_Date	Factiess CR B	🔯 Rating B				
				sair				

Transition Matrix - OLAP

- Rating changes
 - E.g.: starting from January rating (see filter) on columns, see resulting rating in following months on rows



Scenario: Transition Matrix

• BISM Tabular

- Simpler data model (facts table are not duplicated)
- Complexity in DAX measures
- Easier to adapt to existing relational models • Calculated columns to avoid snapshot tables

Transition Matrix – Tabular

RELATIONAL MODEL FOR MULTIDIMENSIONAL

- No translation required
 - Dim_Customer is still a SCD Type II
 - Rating_Snapshot is a snapshot of ratings measured monthly





Transition Matrix - Tabular



FROM TWO LOOPS TO SINGLE CALCULATION

Scenario ABC Classification

ABC and Pareto Analysis

- Pareto principle
 - 80% of effects come from 20% of the causes



ABC and Pareto Analysis

sabi

• ABC Analysis

sqlbi.com

- Class A contains items for 70% of total value
- Class B contains items for 20% of total value
- Class C contains items for 10% of total value



ABC Analysis Usage

o T	he ABC	class	can	be	used	to	slice	data
-----	--------	-------	-----	----	------	----	-------	------

I Marchandet Column Labelt I Marchandet I Marchande	1	В	C	D	E	F		PowerPivot Field List	w)	
4 Biological Participant C Consend fields 2 Jance Hondes Genetical Participant Status Participant 3 Jance Hondes Genetical Participant Status Participant 3 Jance Hondes Genetical Participant Status Participant 3 Directores Status Participant Status Participant 3 Directores Status Participant Status Participant 3 Directores Status Participant Tatus Participant 3 Directores Status Participant Status Participant 3 Directores Status Participant Status Participant 3 Directores Status Participant Status Participant 3 Directores Status Partipant Status Pa	3	Sum of Amount	Column Labels 💌					Choose fields to add to	report:	
§ Albert Hondes € 48,12,47 1,24,94,16 10,18,17 177,171,15 § Ande Camidad 57,977,50 24,880 56,0243 122,176,4 44,980,7 7 56,040 122,176,4 44,980,7 Comment Oblegation	4	Row Labels 💷	A	8	c	Grand Total		Search		1
	5	Albert Rhodes	648,312.47	124,941.65	103,853.07	877,107.19		(i) and a	-	
7. See Provedi 660,500,50 550,6429 132,78,64 44,990,77 3. Diract and more than the second se	6	Jane Carmichael	579,977.45	236, 389. 04	37,482.69	853,849.18		OrderDate		
Covere fielderen Sex 30(2) Sovere Status Sovere	7	Sean Purcell	603,908.30	105,624.39	132,376.08	841,908.77		✓ Oustomer		
Bit Bit Lewin 555,528-55 22,812,12 71,857,74 79,827,76 Phode Bit Inderg Geralds 555,564,74 372,566,76 353,144 377,316 16,87,87 16,87,867 16,97,867 <t< td=""><td>8</td><td>Cornett Gibbens</td><td>560,381.04</td><td>203,836.73</td><td>52,537.81</td><td>816,755.58</td><td></td><td>EmailAddress</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	8	Cornett Gibbens	560,381.04	203,836.73	52,537.81	816,755.58		EmailAddress		
Display Display <t< td=""><td>9</td><td>Elsie Lewin</td><td>515,928.95</td><td>212,381.21</td><td>70,967.74</td><td>799,277.90</td><td></td><td>Product</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	9	Elsie Lewin	515,928.95	212,381.21	70,967.74	799,277.90		Product		
11 Instruction 55/20.81.46 1/14/05.75 64/21.65 746.01.70 12 Instruction 55/20.82 19/20.27 19/20.27 19/20.27 13 Instruction 56/20.02 19/20.27 19/20.27 19/20.27 13 Instruction 56/20.02 19/20.27 19/20.27 19/20.27 14 Instruction 56/20.02 19/20.27 19/20.27 19/20.27 15 Anonexistic 56/20.02 19/20.27 19/20.27 19/20.27 15 Anonexistic 56/20.02 19/20.27 19/20.27 19/20.27 16 Anonexistic 56/20.02 19/20.27 1/20.27 19/20.27 16 Anonexistic 56/20.02 10/20.27 1/20.26 10/20.27 16 Anonexistic 56/20.02 10/20.27 1/20.26 10/20.27 16 Anonexistic 56/20.02 10/20.27 1/20.26 1/20.26 1/20.26 16 Anonexistic 56/20.02 1/20.27 1/20	10	Undsey Camacho	539,904,76	197,336.89	50,531.40	787,773.04		Amount .		
Dytec parts S14,249.22 BitsC170 P121311 P142151 P121311 P142151 P121311 P142151 P121311	11	Bradley Beck	507,831.46	174,355.76	64,216.56	746,403.79		St removed		
Ill Find Content 661,001.22 241,04.04 71 54,24.04 71 54,24.04 71 54,24.04 71 74,23.04 71 Lil Alberty Capitol (15) Repetee burden 663,76.06 58 66,76.01 50,76.05 71 74,23.04 71 7	12	Vance Johns	514,240.32	189,621.70	37,123.81	740,985.83		Sicers Vertical	Sicers Horizontal	
Lit Johney Caprin 48, JANON U 52, 28, 31 72, 27, 26 V Perform Channel Admin Stephene District 55, 26, 51 9, 77, 53 72, 27, 26 V Perform Channel Admin Address of the stephene District Address of the stephene Address of	13	Eva Corets	458,150.12	218,404.72	54,243.88	730,798.71				
IS (Repete Dution SSB(6659) (4,42):46 9,773:39 74,339:44 V Sent Table Constrained IS (Repeted Dution) SSL(2):74 17,173:18 17,243:14 11,445:14 11,445:14 Constrained Constra	14	Johnny Caprio	488, 788.96	186,390.18	52,093.51	727,272.65				
Bill Androvskuph 555,726 /r ii 37,735 /r ii 25,424 /r ii Market Androvskuph 46600000000000000000000000000000000000	15	Stephen Burton	550,866.59	81,662.46	91,770.59	724,299.64		Y Report Filter	Column Labels	
17 Jolie Lanchan 556,670.0 PQ.05.77 >51.80.40 770,881.77 III Rehard Lanchan III Reh	16	Alma Poorbaugh	515,720.74	170,733.98	25,410.04	711,864,76			ABC Class Product	
18 Richard Carey 466,891.51 364,7.67 41,25350 693,582.49 18 Alax Monthenvilli and Care and 110 134 56. 421 (14 n) 4 + H Provi Collect (14 n) (14 Alax Monthenvilli (14 n)	17	Jolie Lenehan	566,647.03	80,016.27	54,140.49	700,803.79			-	
19 diau Steinthensilli all 28 281 64 84 110 184 56 621 618 01 V Outsmer V Sum of Ansunt V	18	Richard Carey	466,831.31	185,417.67	41,253.50	693,502.49		E PIUM LODES	£ 1905	
N K F N PWOE/Sales/TJ/	19	Alay Manchenalli	476.781.64		110 184 56	671.618.03		Dustamer	Sum of Amount	
	A. 1	PWOL Sales		4		,	9		_	
									50	
Sel									Sy	
sql										

ABC Analysis with Excel

The formula is straightforward:

=IF(H6<=0,7;"A";IF(H6<=0,9;"B";"C"))

Product	▼ TotalSold ▼	Incremental 💌	GrandTotal	Incr.% ·	Clas •
Mountain-200 Black, 38	4.400.592,80	4.400.592,80	109.846.381,40	4,01%	A
Mountain-200 Black, 42	4.009.494,76	8.410.087,56	109.846.381,40	7,66%	Α
Mountain-200 Silver, 38	3.693.678,03	12.103.765,59	109.846.381,40	11,02%	Α
Mountain-200 Silver, 42	3.438.478,86	15.542.244,45	109.846.381,40	14,15%	A
Mountain-200 Silver, 46	3.434.256,94	18.976.501,39	109.846.381,40	17,28%	A
Mountain-200 Black, 46	3.309.673,22	22.285.174,61	109.846.381,40	20,29%	A
Road-250 Black, 44	2.516.857,31	24.803.031,92	109.846.381,40	22,58%	Α
Road-250 Black, 48	2.347.655,95	27.150.687,88	109.846.381,40	24,72%	A
Road-250 Black, 52	2.012.447,78	29.163.135,65	109.846.381,40	26,55%	Α
Road-150 Red, 56	1.847.818,63	31.010.954,28	109,846.381,40	28,23%	A
the we have		Cardina and Anna			
HL Mountain Frame - Black, 42	901:590,2	76.101.720,96	109.846.381,40	69,28%	A
Road-650 Red, 62	879.827,94	76.981.548,90	109.846.381,40	70,08%	В
Road-650 Red, 48	878.666,66	77.860.215,56	109.846.381,40	70,88%	В
Road-650 Black, 58	869.632,78	78.729.848,34	109.846.381,40	71,67%	В
Touring-2000 Blue, 54	772.302,01	79.502.150,36	109.846.381,40	72,38%	В
Touring-1000 Blue, 54	743.353,03	80.245.503,38	109.846.381,40	73,05%	В
Road-550-W Yellow, 44	717.825,91	80.963.329,29	109.846.381,40	73,71%	В
Road-350-W Yellow, 44	694.003,92	81.657.333,21	109.846.381,40	74,34%	В
Touring-1000 Yellow, 54	667.158,15	82.324.491,36	109.846.381,40	74,95%	В
Touring-2000 Blue, 60	635.723,72	82.960.215,08	109.846.381,40	75,52%	В
Road-450 Red, 52	621.103,74	\$3.581.318,82	109.846.381.40	76,09%	B



Scenario: ABC Classification

- Classical implementation:
 - Heavy Calculation in ETL
 - Could be very long in SQL
 - Faster in MDX
 - But requires double cube processing in order to store ABC classification result in a dimension attribute







Scenario: ABC Classification

• BISM Tabular

ABC Analysis

- · Based on calculated columns
- Calculation done at processing time

 Good performance, leverages on Vertipaq
- No need for double processing

ABC Analysis

• Tables: Product, SalesOrderDetail

SalesAmountProduct = CALCULATE(SUM(SalesOrderDetail[LineTotal])) CumulatedProduct = SUMX(FILTER(Product, Product[SalesAmountProduct] > = EARLIER(Product[SalesAmountProduct])), Product[SalesAmountProduct]) Calculate the class
 SortedWeightProduct = Product[CumulatedProduct] / SUM(Product[SalesAmountProduct])

 [ABC Class Product] = IF(Product[SortedWeightProduct] <= 0.7, "A", IF(Product[SortedWeightProduct] <= 0.9, "B", "C"))

4 2	15 -			todelit) 🕼 📼	SalesAmountProduct 🖬		SortedWeightProduct			1
	748	HL Mountain Frame	10	5	930,780.68	75,200,130.	73 68.45%	A		ii.
	743	HL Mountain Frame -		5	901,590.23	76,101,720.	96 69.28%	A		1
	761	Road-650 Red, 62		30	879,827.94	76,981,548.	90 70,08 %	8		
	763	Road-650 Red, 48		30	878,666.66	77,860,215.	56 70.88 %	8		
	765	Road-650 Black, 58		30	869,632.78	78,729,848.	34 71.67%	8		
e						******		*	1	i
Contac	I. Profi	ect ProductModel Sale	s0iderDetail	SalesOrderHead	pr /					1

sqlbi.com



Data Modeling Considerations

- We are used to put everything in a dimensional model
- Even when we haven't one (like snapshot)
- Vertipaq is a game changer for problems that don't fit into a dimensional model

Call to action

- Consider alternative models for Data Marts consumed by Vertipaq
- Learn DAX
- Consider BISM Tabular working side-by-side with **BISM Multidimensional**
- Specific models can be better solved by BISM Tabular
- When possible, adapt the technology to the Data Model, not the data model to the technology





sqlbi.com

0

sqlbi



Coming up...

Speaker	Title	Room
Klaus Aschenbrenner	Understanding SQL Server Execution Plans	Aintree
Thomas Kejser	Finding the Limits	Lancaster
Alberto Ferrari	Many-to-Many Relationships in DAX	Pearce
Mark Whitehorn	MDX and DAX-compare and contrast	Boardroom
Bob Duffy	SQL tuning from the dot.net perspective	Empire
Francesco Quaratino	The forgotten DBA daily essential checklist	Derby

