



T-SQL Performance Recommendations

SQLBits9 - Liverpool, 1st October 2011

About Me



Dipl.-Ing. Milos Radivojevic, Vienna, Austria



10+ years SQL Server experience: MCTS, MCP, MCT Relational and DWH/BI area

Workshop: SQL Server for Application Developers Conference Speaker: SQLBits, SQLU Summit, SQL UG

Austria



SOL Server 2005 SQL Server 2008, Database Development

Contact: mradivojevic@solidq.com www.solidq.com





About **SolidQ**

SolidQ provides the world's most trusted and reliable business intelligence, data management, collaboration and custom solutions for Microsoft's cloud and on-premise platforms.

- 80 of the world's top information experts in our BI and data management team, including more than 30 SQL MVPs
- Authored over 30 industry leading books
- Frequent speakers at local and international SQL Server technical conferences (TechEd, SQL PASS Summit, SQL Saturdays...)
- Serving over 800 clients in 22 countries



www.solidq.com



- Free, monthly e-magazine providing answers you need to be successful with your Microsoft SQL Server, Business Intelligence, and software development solutions
- Featuring in-depth technical articles, real-life case studies, practical columns, and seasoned perspectives from our lineup of influential global experts
- Both inside SolidQ and around the community



www.solidq.com/sqj

Agenda

- General Recommendation
- Functions in the WHERE Clause
- Data Type Conversions in WHERE Clause
- SARGable and Non-SARGable
- Local Variables
- Database Constraints and Performance
- UDFs: Scalar vs. Inline Table
- Other Recommendations



General Recommendation

- The query optimizer does a good job
- It considers a lot of possible plans and chooses a very good one
- Almost always this is an optimal execution plan

 Recommendation: Do not write a code which limits optimization options for the query optimizer!



Functions in the WHERE Clause

- A function call on a WHERE clause column prevents the optimizer from using an appropriate index operator
- Function is evaluated for each row => the optimizer uses in this case TableScan or IndexScan operator instead of appropriate Seek operator

 Recommendation: Function should be called against parameters, table columns should not be used as arguments!



Data Type Conversions

- Implicit conversion
- Estimation problem with LIKE operator

• **Recommendation:** Avoid string conversions especially when involved columns are "varchar" or "char" data type



Non-SARGable WHERE Clause

- Search ARGument ABLE sargeable predicate is one in which an index can be used
- Sargable: =,>,<,>=,<=, BETWEEN, LIKE without leading asterisk
- Non-sargable <>, IN, OR, NOT IN, NOT EXISTS, NOT LIKE,
 LIKE with leading %

• **Recommendation:** Avoid non-sargable predicates and replace them with sargable equivalents, when it's possible



Local Variables

 By using a local variable the optimizer cannot generate an optimal execution plan because the variable value is not known at the compile time

 Recommendation: Understand the behavior of local variables and how they affect an execution plan



Database Constraints and Performance

- Foreign Keys
- Unique Constraints
- Check Constraints

 Recommendation: Use database constraints – they are not important for consistence only but also for performance!



Other Recommendations

- VERIFY EXISTENCE EXISTS vs. COUNT(*)
 - Data existence verifying performs same for both versions
- UNION vs. UNION ALL
 - Use UNION ALL when you know that sets are not overlapped and when duplicates are allowed
- IN vs. EXISTS
 - From version 2005 perform same
- The SQL Server optimizer should have all relevant information and only then it can generate an optimal execution plan.



Other Recommendations

- SELECT ONLY REQUIRED COLUMNS
 - By using a local variable the optimizer cannot generate an optimal execution plan because the variable value is unknown at compile time
- USE ORDER BY ONLY WHEN IT'S NECESSARY
 - Data existence verifying perform same, but when local variables the whole table will be scanned
- Only required information should be requested. It sounds trivial, but there are lot of examples with unnecessary SELECT * or ORDER BY statements



Other Recommendations

- Use SET NOCOUNT ON After every query in batch or SP is executed, the server reports the number of rows affected => network overhead
- Reduce lock overhead by using NOLOCK hints
 - Be careful regarding inconsistency problems, but be pragmatic, there is a lot of cases where these problems cannot occur or it doesn't matter



UDFs: Scalar vs. Inline Table

Scalar UDF is called for each row

 Inline TVF will be expanded and an optimal plan will be generated

• **Recommendation:** Scalar UDF is more intuitive, but an inline function performs better!



Next Sessions

Speaker	Title	Room
Ola Hallengren	Inside Ola Hallengrens Maintenance Solution	Aintree
Mark Pryce-Maher	Building a SSMS Add-in; The Agony and Ecstasy	Lancaster
Marco Russo	Vertipaq vs OLAP: Change Your Data Modeling Approach	Pearce
Gert Drapers	Database Development with SQL Server Juneau	Boardroom
Satya Jayanty	SQL Server Upgrade: take help from tools and best practices	Empire
Emil Glownia	Advanced SSRS. Find out what you have been missing.	Derby



Contact:



mradivojevic@solidq.com www.solidq.com

Thank You!

