SQL Server Storage: The Terabyte Level

Brent Ozar, Microsoft Certified Master, MVP Consultant & Trainer, SQLskills.com



BrentOzar.com/go/san



SAN Storage Best Practices for SQL Server

Using SQL Server on SAN storage doesn't always guarantee fast performance. Before the SQL Server installation starts, you need to get the SAN configuration right. Here's my posts on how to get things started right.

How to Configure Your SAN Storage for SQL Server

Database administrators usually see the SAN as a black box. We ask the SAN administrators for a few arrays, and they just ask us what size we need. Configuring storage for SQL Server is more complex than other applications, but thankfully we've got a lot of help. Your SAN vendor has already put a lot of work into documenting how to set up arrays on your SAN controller specifically for SQL Server. Here's the most common vendor document repositories: Search

LIKE MY STUFF? SUBSCRIBE.

Subscribe via Email

Subscribe via RSS

@BrentO on Twitter - Facebook - LinkedIn

UPCOMING EVENTS





Race Facts

 333 miles
 375 boats invited
 33 DNFs







Typical Terabyte Troubles

- Restores
- Backups
- DBCCs
- Querying

- Bad Ideas for:
 - Schema
 - Indexes (CL/NCL)
 - Partitioning
 - Storage



What Do We Restore Most Often?

- 1. The whole server
- 2. One whole database
- 3. One whole table
- 4. A few records
- 5. A schema change (like an SP or view)



The 1TB DBA's Worst Enemy

NO, YOUNT TOL

Picture Source http://www.flickr.com/photos/slworking/2825225419/



The "Before You Touch That" Backup

- Snapshot: instant backup
- Near-zero time of database size
- Near-zero space required
- Very easy to restore the whole thing
- Somewhat easy to restore objects



Database Snapshots: CONTROL-Z

KEEP

CALM

AND

CARRY

- SQL 2005 & newer
- Enterprise Edition
- Looks like a DB
- Great for ad-hoc safety plans



Database Snapshot Pros & Cons

- Ad-hoc backups before app upgrades
- Let users query DB during ETL loads
- Presto, locking problems disappear
- Automated switching is messy
- Snapshots stop some operations
- Watch that disk space
- Still live on this database server



SAN Snapshot Backups





SAN Snapshots

- Not related to SQL Server at all
- Does require SQL Server awareness
- Involves doing a SQL Server backup
- Back up any size database in seconds
- Restore any whole database to any server in seconds*



* Well, Not Really.

- May be groups of databases
- May involve attaching more drives
- May require the SAN admin's help
- May not involve the transaction log



SAN Snapshot Benefits

- Backup speed nearly instantaneous
- Tape work offloaded to SAN controller
- Can keep many backups online
- Easy to run DBCCs on live backups
- Refresh dev/QA servers instantly



SAN Snapshot Drawbacks

- Can be very expensive
- Presenting snapshots to dev/QA servers can slow down production
- Doesn't help log shipping





- SQL Server Database Snapshots:
 - Before "oops" moments
- SAN Snapshots:
 - Refresh QA, dev
 - Run DBCC there
 - Test version upgrades, new versions



Our Sample Database





Online Piecemeal Restore

- Restore PRIMARY filegroup first
- Database is instantly online
- Restore other filegroups individually
- Tables come online with each FG
- Demo: BrentOzar.com/go/piecemeal



Our Sample Database





Designing for Restores

- Keep PRIMARY filegroup very small
- Stop new PRIMARY objects (incl. indexes) using DDL triggers
- Design filegroups for recovery needs
- Keep related schema, objects together (including indexes)



The Easy Button for Restores

- Instant File Initialization
- SQL Server 2005+, all editions
- Instantly grows/creates data files
- Doesn't work with log files
- All gain, no pain



000	Kimberly L. Tripp Instant Initialization - What, Why and How?
▲ ► 1P + // http://www.sqls	kills.com/blogs/kimberly/post/Instant-Initialization-What-Why-and-How.aspx RSS C Qr Google
Kimberly L. Tripp Improving my SQL skills through your questions! home syndication log in	
On this Page 🛞	<< SP2 was released today and, did you know about the Feature Pack? Moving databases around - what are your options and across what versions? >>
🤝 categories 🛞	
 64bit Computing Are you kidding me? Backup & Restore Conference Resources/Q&A Conferences Consolidation Database Maintenance Design Events FILLFACTOR Filtered Indexes Foreign Keys Green Computing HOLs DVD Indexes Inside the storage engine Instant Initialization Manageability Nonclustered Indexes 	Instant Initialization is a new feature of SQL Server 2005 that is based on an NTFS feature that was added to Windows XP (and therefore is also available in Windows 2003 Server). It's a feature that's seemingly simple; it allows file allocation requests to skip zero initialization on creation. As a result, file allocation requests can occur instantly - no matter what the file size. You might wonder why this is interesting or why this make a difference? Most file allocation requests are small requests, with small incremental changes (like .doc files, .xls files, etc.) but database files can be rather large. In fact, they should be rather large as pre-allocation of a reasonable file size is a best practice to reduce file fragmentation. Additionally, autogrowth causes performance delays (more so in 2000 than 2005) but it's generally something that you want to avoid when possible. As as result, database creation times can take minutes to hours to days, depending on file allocation request. But - it's not just for database, manually or automatically growing a file and (IMO - the best) restoring a database, adding a file to an existing database, manually or automatically growing a file and (IMO - the best) restoring a database where the file (or files) being restored does not already exist. The reason I think the last feature is the best is that it can reduce downtime if a database is damaged and allow you to get back up and running more quickly. This is especially important for databases that cannot leverage partial database availability, which is an Enterprise Engine feature. So, to give you some motivation, here is a test that I performed just to have some interesting and comparable numbers.
Opinions Optimizing Procedural Code	Performance Test with Zero Initialization Hardware: Dell Precision 670 Dual Proc (x64) with Dual Core, 4 GB Memory, RAID 1+0 array w/4-142 GB, 15000rpm disks
Partitioning Personal	CREATE DATABASE with 20 GB Data file = 14:02 minutes
Presenting Presenting	ALTER DATABASE BY 10 GB = 7:01 minutes RESTORE 30 GB DATABASE (EMPTY Backup) = 21:07 minutes

Typical Terabyte Troubles

- Restores
- Backups
- DBCCs
- Querying

- Bad Ideas for:
 - Schema
 - Indexes (CL/NCL)
 - Partitioning
 - Storage



Read-Only Filegroups

- Separate frozen data
- Enable compression
- Set 100% fill factor
- Update statistics
- Set FG to read-only
- Redesign backups



Read-Only Backup Strategy

- Full Backup
- Transaction logs every X minutes
- Filegroup differentials for active FGs



Restore Strategy

- Restore PRIMARY FG from full
- Restore read-only FGs from full
- Restore active FGs from:
 - Old full
 - Most recent diff
 - Transaction logs



Who Does This?

- Not supported in GUI
- Barely supported in third party tools
- Totally inconvenient





Better Option: Back Up Less*

- Compress the backups
- Compress the data
- Archive data out





Native Backup Compression

Only one setting

 Compresses everything, every time

Compress the Data

- 2005+ Enterprise Edition only
- Compress it once and you're done
- Often saves >50%
- Faster SELECT queries
- Bad for write-biased OLTP



Compression Gotchas

- No inheritance
- No built-in alerting
- Must revisit frequently



Archive Data Out of the DB

It may not be your job to **build** an archiving strategy, but it is your job to **sell** one.



Typical Terabyte Troubles

- Restores
- Backups
- DBCCs
- Querying

- Bad Ideas for:
 - Schema
 - Indexes (CL/NCL)
 - Partitioning
 - Storage





The Corruption Timeline

- Tuesday 1AM: Full backup
- Every 15 minutes: t-log backup
- Tuesday 11AM: User reports corruption
- What do we restore?



Sad Facts About Corruption

- It's not logged when it happens
- Error 825 Informational only
- No idea which backups to restore
- Page may have changed at any time
- Bigger databases = more to corrupt



Sad Facts About DBCC

- Time-intensive
- Resource-intensive
- No progress indicator



EZ DBCCs on VLDBs

- SAN snapshots
- 1st thing after refreshing dev/QA
- Break DBCC into small chunks: BrentOzar.com/go/vldbdbcc



Typical Terabyte Troubles

- Restores
- Backups
- DBCCs
- Querying

- Bad Ideas for:
 - Schema
 - Indexes (CL/NCL)
 - Partitioning
 - Storage



When Do Statistics Update?

- 1. When 1% of the data changes
- 2. When 10% of the data changes
- 3. When 20% of the data changes
- 4. When 50% of the data changes
- 5. It depends



When Do Statistics Update?

- 1. When 1% of the data changes
- 2. When 10% of the data changes
- 3. When 20% of the data changes
- 4. When 50% of the data changes
- 5. It depends



How Do Statistics Update?

- 1. By sampling 1% of the data
- 2. By sampling 10% of the data
- 3. By sampling 20% of the data
- 4. By scanning of the data
- 5. It depends



How Do Statistics Update?

- 1. By sampling 1% of the data
- 2. By sampling 10% of the data
- 3. By sampling 20% of the data
- 4. By scanning of the data
- 5. It depends



How Statistics Update

- When an index is rebuilt: statistics updated with full scan
- When you update statistics: uses specified sampling rate (or whatever SQL decides)



Updating Stats In a Perfect World

- Set a weekly maintenance window (not outage, just slowdown)
- Integrate stats update with ETL
- Track which indexes you rebuild
- Only touch stats that weren't touched



SQLfool.com

SQL FOOL ADVENTURES IN SQL TUNING - A BLOG FOR THE REST OF US

HOME

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

SQL SPEAK

SUMMIT RESOURCES

22 APR/10

Index Defrag Script, v4.0

In my blog post, "Index Defrag Script Updates - Beta Testers Needed", I stated "I'll hopefully have the new version online in just a few days." That was dated January 26th. I had every intention of following through with it, too, but something came up:



33

Typical Terabyte Troubles

- Restores
- Backups
- DBCCs
- Querying

- Bad Ideas for:
 - Schema
 - Indexes (CL/NCL)
 - Partitioning
 - Storage



Eureka!

"Let's separate data and indexes into filegroups!



Picture Source http://www.flickr.com/photos/20052121@N00/2247588193/

My personal preference for configuring initial filegroups for any system is:

PRIMARY (This can't be changed) on minimal I/O path (not much I/O needed)

DATA on a separate I/O path; DATA gets the DEFAULT filegroup property

INDEX on a separate I/O path

On PRIMARY I place no additional files other than the .MDF file (which has to be in the PRIMARY filegroup), and no additional data goes into the .MDF file. It stays very small and isn't used for user data.

On DATA I place all of my clustered indexes or (if I have any) heaps. Since this is also the Default filegroup, if I accidentally forget the "ON [DATA]" clause at the end of my object creation statements, they'll go here instead of the PRIMARY filegroup (the reason for that is for a later post).

On the INDEX filegroup I place all of my non-clustered indexes.

This of course assumes that DATA and INDEX are both stored on separate I/O paths. As long as they are, I/O resources spent retrieving data from tables won't interfere with I/O searching through indexes. I/O resources spent performing updates, inserts and deletes will be split between the tables and the indexes. Will you see double the performance? Of course not. But you should see a measurable and visible increase in performance vs storing everything on the PRIMARY filegroup.

> For heavily accessed tables, place these tables in one filegroup and place the table's indexes in a different filegroup on different physical disk arrays. This will improve performance, because separate threads will be created to access the tables and indexes.

For VLDB, tables and their related indexes should be separated onto separate files and physical disks for optimum performance running queries, but not separate filegroups. If they are in separate filegroups, then you cannot back up and restore them as a single unit. [7.0, 2000, 2005] Updated 10-02-2006

You-Reek-A - May Not Be Able To:

- Identify and control IO paths
- Control parallelism
- Back up & restore units of data
- Use SAN snapshots
- Set one filegroup to read-only



Eureka!

"Let's partition the data and SQL Server queries will be faster!



You-Reek-A – It Doesn't Work

- Partition elimination rarely works
- Have to know your queries intimately
- Bottom line: great for management, archiving, sliding loads – but not faster queries



Eureka!

"We're low on space - let's add a big empty file instead of growing these."









Picture Source http://www.flickr.com/photos/lifeisaprayer/2282011834/

Eureka!



Guess where the inserts go?









Picture Source http://www.flickr.com/photos/lifeisaprayer/2282011834/

The Better Way

- Start with 4 files per filegroup
- Put all on different IO paths (maybe)
- Add new filegroups, move objects to it
- Rebuild all indexes after adding files
- Extremely important for 1GB iSCSI



Typical Terabyte Troubles

- Restores
- Backups
- DBCCs
- Querying

- Bad Ideas for:
 - Schema
 - Indexes (CL/NCL)
 - Partitioning
 - Storage



BrentOzar.com/go/san



SAN Storage Best Practices for SQL Server

Using SQL Server on SAN storage doesn't always guarantee fast performance. Before the SQL Server installation starts, you need to get the SAN configuration right. Here's my posts on how to get things started right.

How to Configure Your SAN Storage for SQL Server

Database administrators usually see the SAN as a black box. We ask the SAN administrators for a few arrays, and they just ask us what size we need. Configuring storage for SQL Server is more complex than other applications, but thankfully we've got a lot of help. Your SAN vendor has already put a lot of work into documenting how to set up arrays on your SAN controller specifically for SQL Server. Here's the most common vendor document repositories: Search

LIKE MY STUFF? SUBSCRIBE.

Subscribe via Email

Subscribe via RSS

@BrentO on Twitter - Facebook - LinkedIn

UPCOMING EVENTS

Fear begins where control ends.

Beth Leonard, circumnavigator