

How to Pick SQL Server Hardware





The big picture

- 1. What SQL Server edition do you need?
- 2. Does your RPO/RTO dictate shared storage?
- 3. If you need shared storage, what's important?
- 4. No-brainer answers for Standard Edition
- **5.** Enterprise Edition's socket choices



What SQL Server edition do you need?



Picking your SQL Server edition

	Standard	Enterprise
Cost per core	£2k	£5k
Cost for 2-socket, hex-core	£16k	£62k
Cost for 4-socket, hex-core		£125k
Max logical processors (cores)	16	OS max
Max memory used by SQL Server	128GB	OS max
Backup compression	Yes	Yes
Data compression	No	Yes
Transparent data encryption	No	Yes
Partitioned tables	No	Yes
In-memory OLTP (Hekaton), clustered column store indexes	Not Really	Yes

* These prices don't take into account your amazing negotiation skills.



Factor in the hardware costs

	SQL 2014 Standard	SQL 2014 Enterprise crippled	SQL 2014 Enterprise
Cores	12 (2x6)	12 (2x6)	12 (2x6)
Memory	128GB	128GB	768GB
Cool Stuff	No	Yes	Yes
Hardware w/TempDB SSDs	£9k	£9k	£21k
Licensing	£16k	£62k	£62k
Total Cost	£25k	£71k	£83k

* These prices don't take into account your amazing negotiation skills.



Got >500GB data? Forget Standard.



















tblSales – 1,000GB

My Query

Your Query









Books Online "Reading Pages"

The storage engine uses prefetching to speed base table lookups from nonclustered indexes. The leaf rows of a nonclustered index contain pointers to the data rows that contain each specific key value. As the storage engine reads through the leaf pages of the nonclustered index, it also starts scheduling asynchronous reads for the data rows whose pointers have already been retrieved. This allows the storage engine to retrieve data rows from the underlying table before it has completed the scan of the nonclustered index. Prefetching is used regardless of whether the table has a clustered index. SQL Server Enterprise uses more prefetching than other editions of SQL Server, allowing more pages to be read ahead. The level of prefetching is not configurable in any edition. For more information about nonclustered indexes, see Nonclustered Index Structures.

Advanced Scanning

In SQL Server Enterprise, the advanced scan feature allows multiple tasks to share full table scans. If the execution plan of a Transact-SQL statement requires a scan of the data pages in a table and the Database Engine detects that the table is already being scanned for another execution plan, the Database Engine joins the second scan to the first, at the current location of the second scan. The Database Engine reads each page one time and passes the rows from each page to both execution plans. This continues until the end of the table is reached.



Does your RPO/RTO dictate shared storage?



BrentOzar.com/go/fail

High Availability



For isolated server failures: Windows crash, RAID controller failure, SQL or Windows patch fails, C drive full, bad memory chip, wrong box unplugged

RPO: Max acceptable data loss:



RTO: Max acceptable downtime:



Disaster Recovery || "Oops" Deletions



For widespread outages: Data center power or network outage, domain controller failure, SAN failure, fire, guake, zombies in the data center

RPO: Max acceptable data loss:



RTO: Max acceptable downtime:





For human T-SQL error: DELETE without a where clause, bug in stored procedure for updates, end user needing a restore due to human error

RPO: Max acceptable data loss:



RTO: Max acceptable downtime:



BrentOzar.com/go/fail

Recovery Time Objective (RTO) - Downtime

	1				
		Zero-1 Sec	1 Minute	1 Hour	1 Day
O) - Data Loss	Zero-1 Sec	>\$1,000,000 Multiple active servers with bidirectional replication (usually requires code change)	\$100k-\$500k Clustering w/SAN, synch AlwaysOn Availability Groups (EE), synch database mirroring	\$100k-\$250k Synch SAN replication, synch VM replication	
Point Objective (RPO) -	1 Minute			\$50k-\$250k Async AlwaysOn Avail Groups (EE), async DB mirroring (EE)	\$5k-\$100k Log shipping, async SAN replication, async VM replication
	1 Hour			\$5k-\$100k Log shipping, async SAN replication, async VM	
Recovery	1 Day				

Comparison of SQL Server Availability Features

	>\$1mm	1 \$100k-\$500k \$100k-\$250k		\$50k-\$250k		\$5k-\$100k					
		Failover	AlwaysOn		AlwaysOn						
		Clustered	Availability	Database	SAN	VM	Availability	Database		SAN	VM
		Instances	Groups -	Mirroring -	Replication	Replication	Groups -	Mirroring -	Log	Replication	Replication
	Replication	(FCI)	Synch	Synch	Synch	Synch	Asynch	Asynch	Shipping	Asynch	Asynch
Recovery Point Objective (Data Loss)											
Data loss possible	Yes	No*	No*	No*	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Typical RPO goal	Zero	Zero	Zero	Zero	Zero	Zero	1 Minute	1 Minute	1 Hour	1 Hour	1 Hour
Recovery Time Objective (Downtime)											
Failover automatic or manual	Automatic	Automatic	Automatic	Automatic	Optional	Optional	Manual	Manual	Manual	Manual	Manual
Can be failed over by the DBA alone	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
Easy to fail back and forth for patching	Some	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Some	No	No
Multiple live writeable servers	Optional	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Fails over Agent jobs, SQL logins	No	Yes	No	No	Optional	Yes	No	No	No	Optional	Yes
3rd party apps fail over easily	No	Yes	Yes	Some	Yes	Yes	Yes	Some	No	Yes	Yes
Typical RTO goal	Zero	1 Minute	1 Minute	1 Minute	1 Hour	1 Hour	1 Hour	1 Hour	1 Day	1 Day	1 Day
Groups of Databases											
Are the same point in time	No	Yes	No*	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Fail over together	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hardware & Software Requirements											
SQL Server minimum version supported	Apps vary	Any	2012	2005	Any	Any	2012	2005	Any	Any	Any
SQL Server minimum edition required	Apps vary	Any	Enterprise	Standard	Any	Any	Enterprise	Enterprise	Any	Any	Any
May require application changes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Req storage for multiple copies of DBs	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Requires shared storage (SAN)	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Requires virtualization	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Can use local solid state storage	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
Performance											
Has noticeable performance impact	Maybe	No	Yes	Yes	Maybe	Maybe	Minimal	Minimal	No	Maybe	Maybe
Can offload backups, DBCCs, reports	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Some	No	No



© 2014 Brent Ozar Unlimited. All rights reserved. Reproduction prohibited without the express written consent of Brent Ozar Unlimited. Learn more at www.BrentOzar.com/go/fail. Your mileage may vary. Professional driver on closed course. Your eyesight is great.



Comparison of SQL Server Availability Features

	>\$1mm				\$100k	-\$250k	\$50k-\$250k		\$5k-\$100k		
		Failover	AlwaysOn	Datahasa	AlwaysOn SAN VM Availability Data		Datahasa	SAN VM			
		Clustered Instances	Availability Groups -	Database Mirroring -		• Replication	Availability Groups -	Database Mirroring -	Log	SAN Replication	
	Replication	(FCI)	Synch	Synch	Synch	Synch	Asynch	Asynch	Shipping	Asynch	Asynch
Recovery Point Objective (Data Loss)		()				-,				,	
Data loss possible	Yes	No*	No*	No*	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Typical RPO goal	Zero	Zero	Zero	Zero	Zero	Zero	1 Minute	1 Minute	1 Hour	1 Hour	1 Hour
Recovery Time Objective (Downtime)											
Failover automatic or manual	Automatic	Automatic	Automatic	Automatic	Optional	Optional	Manual	Manual	Manual	Manual	Manual
Can be failed over by the DBA alone	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
Easy to fail back and forth for patching	Some	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Some	No	No
Multiple live writeable servers	Optional	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Fails over Agent jobs, SQL logins	No	Yes	No	No	Optional	Yes	No	No	No	Optional	Yes
3rd party apps fail over easily	No	Yes	Yes	Some	Yes	Yes	Yes	Some	No	Yes	Yes
Typical RTO goal	Zero	1 Minute	1 Minute	1 Minute	1 Hour	1 Hour	1 Hour	1 Hour	1 Day	1 Day	1 Day
Groups of Databases											
Are the same point in time	No	Yes	No*	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Fail over together	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hardware & Software Requirements											
SQL Server minimum version supported	Apps vary	Any	2012	2005	Any	Any	2012	2005	Any	Any	Any
SQL Server minimum edition required	Apps vary	Any	Enterprise	Standard	Any	Any	Enterprise	Enterprise	Any	Any	Any
May require application changes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Req storage for multiple copies of DBs	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Requires shared storage (SAN)	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Requires virtualization	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Can use local solid state storage	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
Performance											
Has noticeable performance impact	Maybe	No	Yes	Yes	Maybe	Maybe	Minimal	Minimal	No	Maybe	Maybe
Can offload backups, DBCCs, reports	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Some	No	No



© 2014 Brent Ozar Unlimited. All rights reserved. Reproduction prohibited without the express written consent of Brent Ozar Unlimited. Learn more at www.BrentOzar.com/go/fail. Your mileage may vary. Professional driver on closed course. Your eyesight is great.



Vee			
Yes	No	No	
Yes	No	Yes	Y
No	Yes	No	l
No	No	No	1
Yes	No	Yes	Y
	Yes No No	Yes No No Yes No No	Yes No Yes No Yes No No No No



Your budget is limited.

Don't get SAN storage, switch gear, and a SAN admin unless you have to.



Or do you just want a SAN?

Already have a SAN that performs very well

Plan to move the database between hardware soon

Data too large to fit in internal drives (which is pretty unlikely these days, except blades)

Otherwise, local solid state is a shortcut to speed, so your hardware choice should have local drive bays.



If you need a SAN, what's important?

















Simple SAN path



Server



Two storage fabrics





More paths, more throughput?



Active/Active Clustering

- Two servers, one SAN
- Each server runs a separate SQL Server instance
- Both instances are live at any given time
- Higher hardware utilization
- But one database is only served by one server at a time



Active/Active Multipathing

Multiple active paths are actively carrying data, but they may only be carrying data for one drive letter, or they may only be active for writes or for reads.



CrystalDiskMark

Free tool with instructions: BrentOzar.com/go/cdm

Pick 5 tests, 4000MB test file, drive letter

Sequential: roughly akin to backups, large table scans

4K QD32: vaguely similar to active OLTP server or TempDB






From BrentOzar.com/posters



Your goals

Aim for 200MB per second of read throughput, per core of SQL Server

Example: 8 cores need at least 1,600MB/sec read throughput

If you quickly and consistently hit a bottleneck lower than that, check your SAN fabric's throughput

Try using multiple paths, active/active multipathing

Easier way: cache the data in RAM, or ...



Storing the data locally on SSDs



"But SSDs aren't reliable!"

News flash: neither are hard drives.

Mitigations:

- Backups
- DBCCs
- 2 hot spare drives (hey, we've got all those bays in the server chassis, might as well use them)
- Xanax



Dell PowerEdge 720XD



Top version: holds 26 2.5" drives (2 are on the back)

Bottom version: old 3.5" drives, holds 12





HP DL380e G8

Similar, has models for different drive sizes.

You want 2.5" drive bays.



Lots of drive bays for SSDs

Option 1: vendor-approved, enterprise-class drives.

- Vendor supports them, replaces when they break
- Well-tested but this also means old and slow, because vendors don't test the latest and greatest
- Redonkulously expensive (800GB ~£2,000 each)

Option B: off-the-shelf consumer drives

- You support them when they break (but they're dirt cheap, so buy spares)
- Redonkulously fast, cheap (1TB ~£350 each)



Your choice.

	Vendor-supplied 800GB drives	Off-the-shelf 1TB drives
Total cost	£33k	£6k
Usable space, RAID 10	6TB	8TB
Usable space, RAID 5	11TB	14TB
Speed	No specs given	Crazy fast



Controllers count too

2U servers will either have 1 RAID controller or 2

More controllers, more problems throughput

Dell PowerEdge 720: 16 bays, 2 controllers. Less space, more speed.

Dell PowerEdge 720XD: 26 bays, 1 controller. More space, less speed.

More details: http://www.brentozar.com/archive/ 2013/08/load-testing-solid-state-drives-raid/



The right hardware for Standard Edition



The Standard Standard

- 2-socket, 4-6 cores (get fastest cores possible)
- 128GB-192GB memory (SQL will use 64GB-128GB)
- 2 local SSDs in a mirrored pair for TempDB
- Same spec kit whether you're doing cluster, nonclustered with a SAN, or non-clustered with local disks

Example: Dell PowerEdge 720 with 3.5GHz processors and 128GB memory: £9k



On Enterprise, how many CPU sockets do you need?



Do you even need sockets?

	Standard Edition		Enterprise Edition
Physical	2 quad-core processors Licensing: \$14,344		2 quad-core processors Licensing: \$54,992
	Hardware: \$10,775		Hardware: \$23,206
	Total: \$25,119		Total: \$78,198
Virtual			Host with 2 quad-core
	Guest with 4 cores		processors
	Licensing: \$7,172		Licensing: \$54,992
	Hardware: FREE*		Hardware: \$23,206
	Total: Unknown		Total: \$78,198

Source: BrentOzar.com/go/smallest



Physical servers

Summary				
Sockets		2	4	8
Memory	8	768GB	3TB	4TB
PCI Express slots		6	9	16
Drive bays		26	10	8
Fastest low-core, low-lice	nsing			
Total speed, GHz		28	82	89
Total cost	\$	80,489	\$ 335,430	\$ 688,939
Most cores available	8	8		
Total speed, GHz		65	168	192
Total cost	\$	192,613	\$ 569,547	\$ 965,267
		\mathbf{O}		



# of CPU Sockets		2		4	0	8
Models		Dell R720				
	H	P DL380 G8	H	IP DL580 G8	H	IP DL980 G7
Memory		768GB		3TB		4TB
PCI Express slots		6		9		16
Drive bays	26	Dell, 25 HP		10		8
Rack space required		2U		4U		80
Fastest low-core, low-licens	ing					
Processor speed, GHz		3.5		3.4		1.86
# of cores		4		6		6
Total speed, GHz		28		82		89
Boost, theoretical				291%		319%
Cache, MB		15		38	8	18
Cache per core		3.8		6.3		3.0
Model		E5-2637 v2		E7-8893 v2		E7-4807
Hardware cost	\$	25,497	\$	170,454	\$	358,987
SQL Server licensing	\$	54,992	\$	164,976	\$	329,952
Total cost	\$	80,489	\$	335,430	\$	688,939

	# of CPU Sockets		2		4		8
	Models		Dell R720				
		Н	P DL380 G8	Н	IP DL580 G8	Н	IP DL980 G7
	Memory		768GB		3TB		4TB
	PCI Express slots		6		9		16
	Drive bays	26	Dell, 25 HP		10		8
	Rack space required		2U		4U		<mark>8</mark> U
Most	cores available				0.000		
	Processor speed, GHz		2.7		2.8		2.4
	# of cores		12		15		10
	Total speed, GHz		65		168		192
	Boost, theoretical				259%		296%
	Cache, MB		30		38		30
	Cache per core		2.5		2.5		3.0
	Model		E5-2697 v2		E7-4890 v2		E7-4870
	Hardware cost	\$	27,637	\$	157,107	\$	415,347
	SQL Server licensing	\$	164,976	\$	412,440	\$	549,920
	Total cost	\$	192,613	\$	569,547	\$	965,267

Physical servers

Summary				
Sockets		2	4	8
Memory	8	768GB	3TB	4TB
PCI Express slots		6	9	16
Drive bays		26	10	8
Fastest low-core, low-lice	nsing			
Total speed, GHz		28	82	89
Total cost	\$	80,489	\$ 335,430	\$ 688,939
Most cores available	8	8		
Total speed, GHz		65	168	192
Total cost	\$	192,613	\$ 569,547	\$ 965,267
		\mathbf{O}		



Boiling it down

Need <768GB RAM and <82GHz CPU? 2-socket. The 25-26 local drive bays give you great options.

Need 1-3TB RAM and 8-10 PCI slots? 4-socket. The March CPU updates beat 8-socket boxes.

Need over 3TB RAM or over 10 PCI slots? 8-socket, but start making plans to split this monster.



Recap



The top 5 things we covered

- 1. For Standard Edition, think virtual first.
- 2. If your RPO/RTO doesn't require shared storage, try local SSDs.
- 3. If you have to have a SAN, pathing is incredibly important. Get lots of fast paths.
- 4. CrystalDiskMark test for 200MB/sec/core.
- 5. In mid-2014, 2-sockets are an amazing deal.

Learn more: BrentOzar.com/go/faster

